

Association between Parental Perceptions and Active Commuting to School in Children

Kaitlyn Swinney, PhD^{1,2}, Yuzi Zhang, PhD^{2,3}, MS, Leigh Ann Ganzar, Dr.PH, MPH⁴, Adriana Perez, PhD, MS^{2,3}, Deborah Salvo, PhD⁵, Sarah Bentley, MPH,^{2,3} Deanna M. Hoelscher, PhD, RDN, LD, CNS, FISBNPA^{2,3}





¹Steve Hicks School of Social Work, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 78705, USA, ²Michael and Susan Dell Center for Healthy Living, Austin, TX, ³The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHealth Houston) School of Public Health, Austin, TX, ⁴Professional Data Analysts, Department of Kinesiology and Health education, ⁵The University of Texas at Austin, TX, 78712, USA

INTRODUCTION

- United States children are experiencing an epidemic of obesity¹
- Less than 25% of children meet current physical activity guidelines²
- Active commuting to school (ACS) is a sustainable way to be active through different life stages³

STUDY OBJECTIVES

1) To investigate the association of various parental psychosocial constructs related to ACS and child ACS status

2) To examine parental perceptions of the neighborhood and school environment and child ACS status

METHODS

- <u>Data:</u> Safe Travel Environment Evaluation Texas Schools (STREETS) study⁴
- Participants: Third grade parent-child dyads (n=260)
- Variables:
- Child ACS (0 = no, 1 = yes)
- Parent perceptions of child ACS related constructs (self-efficacy, independent mobility, modeling, concerns, and support)
- Parent environmental perceptions (walkability, school built environmental, school neighborhood environment, social control, social cohesion, social engagement)
- Measures adapted from SRTS, UH-PEAK, NEWS, Texas SPAN
- Analysis: Logistic regressions were used to examine the association between parental perceptions and child ACS.

REFERENCES

1. United States [Internet]. World Obesity Federation Global Obesity Observatory. Available from: https://data.worldobesity.org/country/united-states-227/#data_prevalence
2. Dozier SG, Schroeder K, Lee J, Fulkerson JA, Kubik MY. The association between parents and children meeting physical activity guidelines. Journal of pediatric nursing. 2020 May 1;52:70-5.

3. Molina-García J, Campos S, García-Massó X, Herrador-Colmenero M, Gálvez-Fernández P, Molina-Soberanes D, Queralt A, Chillón P. Different neighborhood walkability indexes for active commuting to school are necessary for urban and rural children and adolescents. International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2020 Dec:17:1-1.

4. Hoelscher DM, Ganzar LA, Salvo D, Kohl III HW, Pérez A, Brown HS, Bentley SS, Dooley EE, Emamian A, Durand CP. Effects of large-scale municipal safe routes to school infrastructure on student active travel and physical activity: design, methods, and baseline data of the safe travel environment evaluation in Texas schools (STREETS) natural experiment. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022 Feb 5;19(3):1810.

RESULTS

Table 1. Baseline school-level characteristics for parents of third graders (n = 32).

Characteristic	Percentage
Girls	51.3%
Racial/ethnic minority (non- White Hispanic and Other)	60.4%
Parent highest education is less than a college graduate	37%
ACS*	50.4%

Table 3. Associations between parental perception of physical activity, perceptions of environment, and child ACS status (n=260)

Parental Perception	Exposure	AOR	95% CI	p-value
ACS	ACS SE	11.4	[6.0, 21.8]	<0.0001
	ACS support	11.7	[6.6, 20.8]	<0.0001
	ACS concerns	0.3	[0.2, 0.4]	<0.0001
	ACS IM	1.8	[1.5, 2.1]	<0.0001
	PA modeling	1.4	[0.9, 2.0]	0.09
	SE PA habits	0.9	[0.6, 1.3]	0.51
Environmental	Neighborhood walkability	1.2	[0.7, 2.3]	0.50
	Neighborhood IM	1.8	[1.0, 3.2]	0.07
	School built environment	1.1	[0.6, 1.9]	0.88
	School environmental per ceptions	0.7	[0.2, 2.0]	0.47
	Safety perceptions total	1.7	[0.6, 4.7]	0.29
Community engagement	Social engagement	1.5	[1.1, 1.9]	0.006
	Social control	1.3	[1.0, 1.7]	0.03
	Social cohesion	0.9	[0.6, 1.4]	0.72
	Social capital	1.7	[1.1, 2.6]	0.01

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of exposure variables (n=260).

Parent perception	Exposure	Mean (SD)	Range
ACS	ACS self-efficacy	2.6 (0.7)	1-4
	ACS concerns	2.2 (0.6)	1-4
	ACS support	2.2 (1.2)	1-5
	ACS independent mobility	4.9 (2.7)	1-10
	Adult physical activity	3.4 (0.7)	1-5
	modeling Self-efficacy for physical activity habits	3.1 (0.8)	1-4
	Neighborhood walkability	2.4 (0.5)	1-3
Environmental	Neighborhood independent mobility	1.4 (0.5)	1-3
	School built environment	2.3 (0.4)	1-3
	School environmental perceptions	2.7 (2.8)	1-3
	Safety perceptions total	2.2 (0.3)	1-3
Community engagement	Social cohesion	3.7 (0.7)	1-5
Community engagement	Social control	3.5 (1.2)	1-5
	Social engagement	2.5 (1.7)	1-5
	Social capital	3.2 (0.8)	1-5

Means of self-reported parental SE, parental ACS support, parent rated IM were associated with higher odds of children's ACS.

Mean of parental ACS concerns were associated with lower odds of child ACS



CONCLUSIONS & NEXT STEPS

Conclusions

Parents' perceptions of ACS and PA impact child commute behavior. Researchers investigating ACS should focus on parent engagement in ACS programs to increase the likelihood of ACS

Next Steps

Future policies should focus on safe infrastructure and investment in safe improvements to improve parental perceptions

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Preparation of this work is supported by grants T32HL140290 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and P2CHD042849 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The contents of this work are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Institutes of Health.

The STREETS study is funded by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development, grant number R01 HD097669 and the Michael & Susan Dell Foundation through the Michael & Susan Dell Center for Healthy Living. The STREETS Study would like to thank the City of Austin Public Works Department, and all participating school districts, campuses, and participants for their investment in the study.